Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-0430 Fax (206) 296-8819

(2:15-CV-01358)

23

Complaint, Defendant admits, denies and alleges as set forth below. Each and every allegation contained in plaintiffs' Complaint not expressly admitted in full below is denied. The paragraph numbers in the Answer below correspond to the paragraph numbers in plaintiffs' Complaint:

THE PARTIES

- 1.-13. Answering paragraphs 1-13 of the Complaint, King County is presently without sufficient information to answer the allegations of fact contained in those paragraphs and therefore denies them.
- 14. Answering paragraph 14 of the Complaint, King County admits that it is a home rule charter county and a political subdivision of the State of Washington of the State of Washington.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 15. Answering paragraph 15 of the Complaint, King County denies that the state court has jurisdiction of the claims and defenses in this action.
- 16. Answering paragraph 16 of the Complaint, King County admits that RCW 4.12.010 is the mandatory venue statute applicable to plaintiffs' action, that the portion of the East Lake Sammamish Rail Corridor ("ELSRC") implicated by plaintiffs' action is located in King County and that defendant King County is located within the geographic boundaries of King County. To the extent that this paragraph includes any additional allegations of fact, King County denies the same.

FACTS

- 17. Answering paragraph 17 of the Complaint, King County admits that various railroad companies operated trains over the ELSRC, which is adjacent to the east shore of Lake Sammamish in the cities of Issaquah, Sammamish and Redmond. Except as so admitted, King County denies each and every other allegation of fact in this paragraph and specifically denies plaintiffs' allegation that the ELSRC is "narrow."
- 18. Answering paragraph 18 of the Complaint, King County admits that it currently possesses all property interests in the ELSRC that were formerly held by BNSF and Land

CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue

ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIM - 2 (2:15-CV-01358)

Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-0430 Fax (206) 296-8819

Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIM - 3 (2:15-CV-01358)

Conservancy of Seattle pursuant to the specified deeds, but denies that the ELSRC is properly characterized as a "right of way" over its entire length.

- 19. Answering paragraph 19 of the Complaint, King County admits that it possesses property rights under the specified deeds that cover the entirety of the ELSRC and grant King County exclusive control over the ELSRC, including the right to allow public use of the ELSRC. King County further admits that it has constructed an interim trail over the ELSRC and a permanent trail over portions of the ELSRC. It further admits that it has applied for permits for further development of the interim trail over a portion of the ELSRC, but denies the remaining factual allegations in this paragraph.
 - 20. Answering paragraph 20 of the Complaint, King County denies the same.

CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 21. Answering paragraph 21 of the Complaint, King County denies the same.
- 22. Answering paragraph 22 of the Complaint, King County denies the same.
- 23. Answering paragraph 23 of the Complaint, King County denies the same.
- 24. Answering paragraph 24 of the Complaint, King County admits that some or all of plaintiffs have constructed walkways, driveways, parking areas, landscaping systems, utilities and have planted landscaping within the ELSRC. Except as so admitted, King County denies each and every additional allegation contained in paragraph 24.
 - 25. Answering paragraph 25 of the Complaint, King County denies the same.
 - 26. Answering paragraph 26 of the Complaint, King County denies the same.

CLAIM FOR QUIET TITLE

27. Answering paragraph 27 of the Complaint, King County denies the same.

KING COUNTY'S COUNTERCLAIM FOR QUIET TITLE, EJECTMENT AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

Counterclaim ¶1. In the event that this case is not dismissed in its entirety under federal preemption, King County asserts the following Counterclaim.

22

23

Counterclaim ¶2. As Counterclaim Plaintiff, King County re-alleges its answers to ¶¶1-27 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

Counterclaim ¶3. King County owns fee title or exclusive railroad easement rights characterized as a "quasi-fee" in the portions of the ELSRC that are adjacent to plaintiff's property.

Counterclaim ¶4. Plaintiffs have interfered with King County's property rights in the ELSRC by erecting and maintaining various unauthorized improvements that impede King County's access to its property and prevent public enjoyment.

Counterclaim ¶5. Under RCW 7.28, title to the disputed portion of property should be quieted in King County.

Counterclaim ¶6. Plaintiffs should be required to remove any unauthorized improvements erected and maintained within the ELSRC.

Counterclaim ¶7. Plaintiffs should be required to pay current and back rent and/or special use fees for all unauthorized uses of the ELSRC.

FURTHER ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER and AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES to plaintiffs' Complaint, and without admitting anything previously denied, King County asserts the following affirmative defenses against each plaintiff.

- 1. Plaintiffs, in whole or in part, have failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
 - 2. Plaintiffs have failed to join indispensable parties.
- Plaintiffs have failed to establish personal jurisdiction by properly serving King
 County.
- 4. Plaintiffs, in whole or in part, have stated claims under Washington law that are preempted by federal law.
 - 5. Plaintiffs, in whole or in part, have stated claims that are barred by the statute of

CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104

(206) 296-0430 Fax (206) 296-8819

Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney

1	By: s/ Peter G. Ramels
_	PETER G. RAMELS, WSBA #21120
2	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
3	By: s/ Andrew W. Marcuse
4	ANDREW W. MARCUSE, WSBA #27552
4	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
5	Attorneys for Defendant King County
6	Wing Country Programting Attornay's Office
U	King County Prosecuting Attorney's Office 500 Fourth Ave., 9 th Floor
7	Seattle, WA. 98104
'	Telephone: (206) 296-8820 / Fax: (206) 296-8819
8	Telephone: (200) 290-8820 / Fax: (200) 290-8819
	Email: david.hackett@kingcounty.gov
9	kevin.wright@kingcounty.gov
	pete.ramels@kingcounty.gov
10	andrew.marcuse@kingcounty.gov
11	
12	
13	
13	
14	
15	
13	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIM - 6 (2:15-CV-01358)

1	DECLARATION OF FILING AND SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on August 24, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing Notice to
3	Plaintiffs of Removal with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send
4	notification of such filing to the following:
5	John T. Ludlow Hanson Baker Ludlow Drumheller P.S.
6	2229 112 th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98004
7	(425) 454-3374 jludlow@hansonbaker.com
8	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of
9	Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.
10 11	DATED 11: 24th 1 CA 1 2015 15 WI WI I'
12	DATED this 24 th day of August, 2015 at Seattle, Washington.
13	s/ Kris Bridgman
14	Kris Bridgman, Legal Secretary King County Prosecuting Attorney's Office
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIM - 7 (2:15-CV-01358)