Case 2:15-cv-01358 Document 2-1 Filed 08/24/15 Page 1 of 24

EXHIBIT A

15 AUG 20 PM 3:59

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK E-FILED CASE NUMBER: 15-2-20483-1 SEA

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF KING

NEIGHBORS, ET AL.	NO. 15-2-20483-1 SEA
VS	CASE INFORMATION COVER SHEET
KING COUNTY	AND AREA DESIGNATION

CAUSE OF ACTION

(QTI) - QUIET TITLE (QTI 2)

AREA DESIGNATION

SEATTLE - Defined as all King County north of Interstate 90 and including all of Interstate 90 right of way, all of the cities of Seattle, Mercer Island, Issaquah, and North Bend, and all of Vashon and Maury Islands.

15 AUG 20 PM 3:59

KING COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT CLERK
E-FILED
CASE NUMBER: 15-2-20483-1 SEA

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

	TRACY NEIGHBORS and BARBARA	Cause No.:
9	NEIGHBORS; ARUL MENEZES and LUCRETIA	
10 11	VANDERWENDE; LAKE SAMMAMISH 4257	COMPLAINT FOR
	LLC; HERBERT MOORE and ELYNNE MOORE;	DECLARATORY RELIEF AND TO
	TED DAVIS and ELAINE DAVIS; REID BROWN	QUIET TITLE
	and TERESA BROWN; SHAWN HUARTE and	QUELTITLE
12	TRINA HUARTE; ANNETTE MCNABB; EUGENE	
	MOREL and ELIZABETH MOREL; VOLKER	
13	ELSTE and GAIL UREEL; JOHN R. WARD AND	
	JOANNA WARD, AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE	
14	WARD HALES LIVING TRUST; YORK HUTTON;	
	L. LARS KNUDSEN and LISE SHDO,	
1.5		
15	Plaintiffs	

Plaintiffs,

VŞ.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

KING COUNTY, a municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Washington,

Defendant.

COME NOW Plaintiffs Tracy Neighbors and Barbara Neighbors, Arul Menezes and

Lucretia Vanderwende, Lake Sammamish 4257 LLC, Herbert Moore and Elynne Moore, Ted

Davis and Elaine Davis, Reid Brown and Teresa Brown, Shawn Huarte and Trina Huarte,

Annette McNabb, Eugene Morel and Elizabeth Morel, Volker Elste and Gail Ureel, John R.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND TO **QUIET TITLE - 1**

Ward and Joanna Ward, as Co-Trustees of the Ward Hales Living Trust, York Hutton, and L.
Lars Knudsen and Lise Shdo (collectively "Plaintiffs"), pursuant to the Revised Code of
Washington § 7.28.010, *et seq*, and § 7.24.010, *et. seq.*, and allege as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiffs Tracy and Barbara Neighbors are husband and wife and are residents of King County, Washington who own land adjacent to both sides of a former railroad right of way which is now the site of a recreational trail known as the East Lake Sammamish Trail ("ELST"). Tracy and Barbara Neighbors' property, King County Tax Parcel No. 072406-9006, includes the fee title, which encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial rights, to all of their property to the edges of the ELST.

Plaintiffs Arul Menezes and Lucretia Vanderwende are residents of King County,
 Washington who own land adjacent to both sides of the ELST. Arul Menezes and Lucretia
 Vanderwende's property, King County Tax Parcel No. 072406-9024, includes the fee title, which
 encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial rights, to all their property to the edges of the
 ELST.

3. Plaintiff Lake Sammamish 4257 LLC is a Washington limited liability company duly licensed and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the state of Washington. Lake Sammamish 4257 LLC owns land adjacent to one side of the ELST. Lake Sammamish 4257 LLC's property, King County Tax Parcel No. 172406-9079, includes the fee title, which encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial rights, to all its property to the edge of the ELST.
4. Plaintiffs Herbert Carvel and Elynne Moore are husband and wife and are

residents of King County, Washington who own land adjacent to the ELST. Herbert Moore and

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND TO QUIET TITLE - 2

Hanson Baker Ludlow Drumheller P.S. 2229 112th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98004 (425) 454-3374

Case 2:15-cv-01358 Document 2-1 Filed 08/24/15 Page 5 of 24

Elynne Moore's property, King County Tax Parcel No. 172406- 9077, includes the fee title,
 which encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial rights, to all their property to the edge of
 the ELST.

5. Plaintiffs Ted R. and Elaine M. Davis are husband and wife and are residents of King County, Washington who own land adjacent to both sides of the ELST. Ted R. and Elaine M. Davis' property, King County Tax Parcel No. 072406-9020, includes the fee title, which encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial rights, to all their property to the edges of the ELST.

6. Plaintiffs Reid and Teresa Brown are husband and wife and are residents of King
County, Washington who own land adjacent to both sides of the ELST. Reid and Teresa
Brown's property, King County Tax Parcel No. 072406-9003, includes the fee title, which
encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial rights, to all their property to the edges of the
ELST.

7. Plaintiffs Shawn and Trina Huarte are husband and wife and are residents of King
County, Washington who own land adjacent to both sides of the ELST. Shawn and Trina
Huarte's property, King County Tax Parcel No. 072406- 9041, includes the fee title, which
encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial rights, to all their property to the edges of the
ELST.

8. Plaintiff Annette McNabb is a resident of King County, Washington who owns
 land adjacent to both sides of the ELST. Annette McNabb's property, King County Tax Parcel
 No. 072406-9030, includes the fee title, which encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial
 rights, to all their property to the edges of the ELST.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND TO QUIET TITLE - 3

Hanson Baker Ludiow Drumheller P.S. 2229 112th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98004 (425) 454-3374

W:\WPDOCS\15157\003\B0304162.DOCX

Case 2:15-cv-01358 Document 2-1 Filed 08/24/15 Page 6 of 24

9. Plaintiffs Eugene and Elizabeth Morel are husband and wife and are residents of
 King County, Washington who own land adjacent to both sides of the ELST. Eugene and
 Elizabeth Morel's property, King County Tax Parcel Nos. 072406-9008 and 072406-9090,
 include the fee title, which encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial rights, to all their
 property to the edges of the ELST.

10. Plaintiffs Volker Elste and Gail Ureel are residents of King County, Washington who own land adjacent to the ELST. Volker Elste and Gail Ureel's property, King County Tax Parcel No.0724069057, includes the fee title, which encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial rights, to all their property to the edge of the ELST.

11. Plaintiffs John R. Ward and Joanna Ward are the Co-Trustees of the Ward Hales Living Trust (the "Ward Hales Trust") and are residents of King County, Washington. As Co-Trustees of the Ward Hales Trust, John and Joanna Ward own land adjacent to the ELST. The Ward Hales Trust property, King County Tax Parcel No. 072406-9023, includes the fee title, which encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial rights, to all Trust property to the edges of the ELST.

12. Plaintiff York Hutton is a resident of King County, Washington who owns land adjacent to both sides of the ELST. York Hutton's property, King County Tax Parcel No.
4065100030, includes the fee title, which encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial rights, to all his property to the edges of the ELST.

13. Plaintiffs L. Lars Knudsen and Lise Shdo are husband and wife and are residents
of King County, Washington who own land adjacent to the ELST. L. Lars Knudsen and Lise
Shdo's property, King County Tax Parcel No. 072406-9050, includes the fee title, which

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND TO QUIET TITLE - 4

Hanson Baker Ludiow Drumheller P.S. 2229 112th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98004 (425) 454-3374

Case 2:15-cv-01358 Document 2-1 Filed 08/24/15 Page 7 of 24

	THR .
22	substantial changes to the ROW abutting Plaintiffs' properties.
21	made substantial changes to, the ROW. Defendant has now applied for permits to make more
20	receiving its Quit Claim Deed Defendant has performed substantial construction within, and
19	Quit Claim Deed and is allowing public use of portions of the former ROW as the ELST. Since
18	19. Defendant has asserted control over the real property described in Defendant's
17	County Recording No. 9809181252 ("Defendant's Quit Claim Deed").
16	then conveyed their interest in the ROW to Defendant in a Quit Claim Deed recorded under King
15	quit claimed its interest in the ROW to Defendant and the Land Conservancy of Seattle, who
14	the then current operator of rail service, Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Railroad ("BNSF"),
13	18. In a Quit Claim Deed recorded under King County Recording No. 9704290575
12	shore of Lake Sammamish, in the citics of Issaquah, Sammamish and Redmond.
11	narrow, 12.45 mile long strip of property ("Right of Way") or ("ROW") adjacent to the east
10	17. For more than 100 years, various railroad companies operated trains over a
9	FACTS
8	16. Pursuant to RCW 4.12.010, venue is properly laid in this Court.
7	rule on the claims and defenses in this action.
6	15. Pursuant to RCW 7.28.010 et seq and 7.24.010 et seq this Court has jurisdiction to
5	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
4	subdivision of the State of Washington.
3	14. Defendant King County ("Defendant") is a municipal corporation and political
2	ELST.
1	encompasses all surface, subsurface, and aerial rights, to all their property to the edges of the

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND TO QUIET TITLE - 5

Hanson Baker Ludiow Drumheller P.S. 2229 112th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98004 (425) 454-3374

Case 2:15-cv-01358 Document 2-1 Filed 08/24/15 Page 8 of 24

On information and belief, although the Quit Claim Deed that BNSF gave to 20. 1 Defendant and the Land Conservancy of Seattle may have included a description of portions of 2 the ROW abutting Plaintiffs' properties, BNSF did not, in fact, have any recorded interest in said 3 properties capable of being conveyed to the Land Conservancy of Seattle and Defendant. 4 CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF 5 21. Consequently, Defendant did not acquire any interest in properties abutting the 6 Plaintiffs' properties when it accepted Defendant's Quit Claim Deed. 7 22. Alternatively, Defendant acquired, at most, unrecorded and unperfected 8 prescriptive easement rights to cross the area of the ROW that had been improved with railroad 9 tracks, ties and ballast, which is much narrower than the width of the ROW. 10 23. If Defendant is able to prove BNSF acquired prescriptive easement rights to 11 widths greater than the railroad tracks, ties and ballast, Defendant later lost those rights when 12 Plaintiffs improved and occupied major portions of the ROW. 13 24. Plaintiffs' uses and improvements include, without limitation construction of 14 walkways, driveways, parking areas, landscaping systems, utilities and the planting of trees, 15 shrubs and ground cover, all within the ROW. Plaintiffs' uses and improvements were open, 16 notorious, continuous and under claims of right for periods exceeding ten years. Plaintiffs have 17 adversely possessed the sections of the ROW, adjacent to their properties, lying outside the 18 margins of the former railroad tracks, tics and ballast. 19 25. Until Defendant has proven that (a) it acquired a fee interest in the abutting 20 properties in its Quit Claim Deed; or (b) it has proven all of the elements of its prescriptive 21 easement claims; or (c) Plaintiffs' have failed to prove all of the elements of their adverse 22

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND TO QUIET TITLE - 6

Hanson Baker Ludiow Drumheller P.S. 2229 112th Avenue NF, Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98004 (425) 454-3374

Case 2:15-cv-01358 Document 2-1 Filed 08/24/15 Page 9 of 24

possession claims, Defendant should be restrained by Court Order from entering onto and performing construction on the land abutting Plaintiffs' properties.

26. Plaintiffs are entitled to entry of a Declaratory Judgement declaring: (a) BNSF never acquired recorded interests in the properties abutting Plaintiffs' properties; (b) Defendant did not succeed to any previously recorded interests in the abutting properties when it accepted Defendant's Quit Claim Deed; (c) Defendant and the public do not have any right to use or build in the ROW adjacent to Plaintiffs' properties; (d) alternatively, Defendant and the public only have a prescriptive easement to use the portions of the ROW between the margins of the former railroad tracks, ties and ballast; (e) alternatively, Defendant and the public do not have any right to use any portions of the ROW that have been adversely possessed by Plaintiffs.

CLAIM TO QUIET TITLE

27. Plaintiffs are also entitled to entry of an Order Quieting Title to their properties that (a) approves modified legal descriptions for Plaintiffs' properties, which reflect the Court's rulings on Plaintiffs' claims and defenses; (b) establishes the margins of the ELST; and (c) extinguishes any interest Defendant might have in property outside the margins of the ELST.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray as follows:

 For entry of the Orders granting the relief described in paragraphs 25, 26 and 27 above; and

2. Entry of an Order granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND TO QUIET TITLE - 7

Hanson Baker Ludlow Drumheller P.S. 2229 112th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98004 (425) 454-3374

DATED this $\underline{///}$ day of August, 2015. 1 HANSON BAKER LUDLOW 2 DRUMHELLER P.S. 3 4 By: 🗸 5 JOHN T. LUDLOW WSBA No. 7377 6 jludlow@hansonbaker.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 HЮ COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND TO Hanson Baker Ludlow Drumheiler P.S. QUIET TITLE - 8 2229 112th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98004 W:\WPDOCS\15157\003\B0304162.DOCX (425) 454-3374

	Case 2:15-cv-01358 Document 2-1 Filed 08/24/15 Page 11 of 24	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	SUDEDIOD COUDT OF WASHINGTON FOD KING COUNTY	
6	SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY	
7	TRACY NEIGHBORS AND BARBARA) NEIGHBORS; ARUL MENEZES AND) LUCRETIA VANDERWENDE; LAKE)	
8	SAMMAMISH 4257 LLC; HERBERT MOORE AND ELYNNE MOORE; TED DAVIS AND	
9	ELAINE DAVIS; REID BROWN AND TERESABROWN; SHAWN HUARTE AND TRINANOTICE OF APPEARANCE	
10	HUARTE; ANNETTE MCNABB; EUGENE) MOREL AND ELIZABETH MOREL; VOLKER) ELSTE AND GAIL UREEL; JOHN R. WARD)	
11	AND JOANNA WARD, AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE WARD HALES LIVING TRUST; YORK	
12	HUTTON; L. LARS KNUDSEN AND LISE) SHDO,	
13	Plaintiffs,	
14	V.	
15)	
16	KING COUNTY, a municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Washington,)	
17	Defendant.	
18)	
19	TO: THE CLERK OF THE COURT	
20	AND TO: Plaintiffs above named	
21	AND TO: John T. Ludlow, attorney for Plaintiffs	
22	YOU YOU AND EACH OF YOU will please take NOTICE that Senior Deputy	
23	Prosecuting Attorneys David J. Hackett, H. Kevin Wright, Peter G Ramels and Andrew Marcuse,	
	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 1 Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-0430 Fax (206) 296-8819 Current Participation	

	Case 2:15-cv-01358 Document 2-1 Filed 08/24/15 Page 12 of 24		
1	hereby appear on behalf of defendant King County in the above-entitled action, without waiving		
2	the question of:		
3	1. Lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter;		
4	 Lack of jurisdiction over the person; Improper venue; 		
5	 Insufficiency of process; Insufficiency of service of process; 		
6	 Failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; Failure to join a party under Rule 19; and Statute(s) of limitation. 		
7			
8	You are hereby further notified that all further papers and pleadings herein, except original		
9	process, shall be served upon the undersigned attorneys at the address below stated.		
10	Deliver to:		
11	DAVID J. HACKETT H. KEVIN WRIGHT		
12	PETER G. RAMELS ANDREW MARCUSE		
13	CIVIL DIVISION 500 4th Avenue		
14	King County Administration Building, Suite 900		
15	Seattle, Washington 98104-2316		
16			
17	Dated this 24 th day of August, 2015.		
18			
19	DANIEL T. SATTERBERG King County Prosecuting Attorney		
20	By: <u>s/ David J. Hackett</u> DAVID HACKETT, WSBA #21236		
21	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney		
22	By: <u>s/ H. Kevin Wright</u> H. KEVIN WRIGHT, WSBA #19121		
23	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney		
	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 2 Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-0430 Fax (206) 296-8819		

1 By: <u>s/ Peter G. Ramels</u> 2 PETER G. RAMELS, WSBA #21120 Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 3 By: <u>s/ Andrew W. Marcuse</u> ANDREW W. MARCUSE, WSBA #27552 4 Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 5 Attorneys for Defendant King County 6 King County Prosecuting Attorney's Office 500 Fourth Ave., 9th Floor 7 Seattle, WA. 98104 Telephone: (206) 296-8820 / Fax: (206) 296-8819 8 9 Email: david.hackett@kingcounty.gov kevin.wright@kingcounty.gov pete.ramels@kingcounty.gov 10 andrew.marcuse@kingcounty.gov 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue

Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-0430 Fax (206) 296-8819

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 3

1	DECLARATION OF FILING AND SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on August 24, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing document
3	with the Clerk of the Court using the electronic filing system and sent a copy of the same with
4	ABC Messenger Service to be delivered on August 25, 2015 no later than 4:30 p.m. to the
5	following:
6 7 8 9	John T. Ludlow Hanson Baker Ludlow Drumheller P.S. 2229 112 th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98004 (425) 454-3374 jludlow@hansonbaker.com
10	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of
11	Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.
12	DATED this 24 th day of August, 2015 at Seattle, Washington.
13	
14	<u>s/ Kris Bridgman</u> Kris Bridgman, Legal Secretary
15	King County Prosecuting Attorney's Office
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 4 Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-0430 Fax (206) 296-8819

15 AUG 21 AM 10:04

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

Cause No. 15-2-20483-1 SEA

NOTICE OF UNAVAILABILITY OF COUNSEL

Plaintiffs,

TRACY NEIGHBORS and BARBARA

NEIGHBORS; ARUL MENEZES and LUCRETIA VANDERWENDE; LAKE SAMMAMISH 4257

LLC; HERBERT MOORE and ELYNNE MOORE;

TED DAVIS and ELAINE DAVIS; REID BROWN

and TERESA BROWN; SHAWN HUARTE and TRINA HUARTE; ANNETTE MCNABB;

EUGENE MOREL and ELIZABETH MOREL; VOLKER ELSTE and GAIL UREEL; JOHN R.

TRUST; YORK HUTTON; L. LARS KNUDSEN

WARD AND JOANNA WARD, AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE WARD HALES LIVING

vs.

and LISE SHDO,

KING COUNTY, a municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Washington,

Defendant.

19 TO:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

THE CLERK OF THE COURT

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that John T. Ludlow, the undersigned attorney of record for

Plaintiffs above named, will be out of his office beginning Monday, August 31, 2015, and shall be

returning to his office on Friday, September 4, 2015. During the aforementioned absence, said

NOTICE OF UNAVAILABILITY OF COUNSEL - 1



W:\WPDOCS\15157\003\B0304427, DOCX

Case 2:15-cv-01358 Document 2-1 Filed 08/24/15 Page 16 of 24

1	attorney shall not be available for any hearings, trials, motions or any other required Court
2	appearances, and shall additionally be unavailable actively to respond to any proposal or motions
3	that may be filed within said period of absence. It is requested that no motions or hearings be set
4	during this period of time, and that a minimum of one week be allowed to respond to or reply to
5	any matters following the undersigned's return.
6	DATED this $1/\frac{44}{2}$ day of August, 2015.
7	HANSON BAKER LUDLOW DRUMHELLER P.S.
8	
9	Bu Man T. (udlon)
10	JOHN T. LUDLOW WSBA No. 7377
11	jludlow@hansonbaker.com Attorney for Plaintiffs
12	Attomey for Flaments
13 -	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	NOTICE OF UNAVAILABILITY OF COUNSEL - 2 W:\WPDOCS\15157\003\B0304427.DOCX Hanson Baker tudiow Drumheller P.S. 2229 112th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Believue, WA 98004 (425) 454-3374

Case 2:15-cv-01358 Document 2-1 Filed 08/24/15 Page 17 of 24

FILED

15 AUG 20 PM 3:59

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK E-FILED CASE NUMBER: 15-2-20483-1 SEA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

NEIGHBORS, ET AL. Plaintiff(s)	NO. 15-2-20483-1 SEA ORDER SETTING CIVIL CASE SCHEDULE
vs. KING COUNTY	ASSIGNED JUDGE: Oishi, Patrick, Dept. 24
Respondent(s)	FILED DATE: 8/20/2015 TRIAL DATE: 8/15/2016 SCOMIS CODE: *ORSCS

1

A civil case has been filed in the King County Superior Court and will be managed by the Case Schedule on Page 3 as ordered by the King County Superior Court Presiding Judge.

I. NOTICES

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF: The Plaintiff may serve a copy of this **Order Setting Case Schedule** (*Schedule*) on the Defendant(s) along with the *Summons and Complaint/Petition*. Otherwise, the Plaintiff shall serve the *Schedule* on the Defendant(s) within 10 days after the later of: (1) the filing of the *Summons and Complaint/Petition* or (2) service of the Defendant's first response to the *Complaint/Petition*, whether that response is a *Notice of Appearance*, a response, or a Civil Rule 12 (CR 12) motion. The *Schedule* may be served by regular mail, with proof of mailing to be filed promptly in the form required by Civil Rule 5 (CR 5).

"I understand that I am required to give a copy of these documents to all parties in this case."

PRINT NAME

SIGN NAME

I. NOTICES (continued)

NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES:

All attorneys and parties should make themselves familiar with the King County Local Rules [KCLCR] -- especially those referred to in this **Schedule**. In order to comply with the **Schedule**, it will be necessary for attorneys and parties to pursue their cases vigorously from the day the case is filed. For example, discovery must be undertaken promptly in order to comply with the deadlines for joining additional parties, claims, and defenses, for disclosing possible witnesses [See KCLCR 26], and for meeting the discovery cutoff date [See KCLCR 37(g)].

CROSSCLAIMS, COUNTERCLAIMS AND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINTS:

A filing fee of \$240 must be paid when any answer that includes additional claims is filed in an existing case.

KCLCR 4.2(a)(2)

A Confirmation of Joinder, Claims and Defenses or a Statement of Arbitrability must be filed by the deadline in the schedule. The court will review the confirmation of joinder document to determine if a hearing is required. If a Show Cause order is issued, all parties cited in the order must appear before their Chief Civil Judge.

PENDING DUE DATES CANCELED BY FILING PAPERS THAT RESOLVE THE CASE:

When a final decree, judgment, or order of dismissal of <u>all parties and claims</u> is filed with the Superior Court Clerk's Office, and a courtesy copy delivered to the assigned judge, all pending due dates in this *Schedule* are automatically canceled, including the scheduled Trial Date. It is the responsibility of the parties to 1) file such dispositive documents within 45 days of the resolution of the case, and 2) strike any pending motions by notifying the bailiff to the assigned judge.

Parties may also authorize the Superior Court to strike all pending due dates and the Trial Date by filing a *Notice of Settlement* pursuant to KCLCR 41, and forwarding a courtesy copy to the assigned judge. If a final decree, judgment or order of dismissal of <u>all parties and claims</u> is not filed by 45 days after a *Notice of Settlement*, the case may be dismissed with notice.

If you miss your scheduled Trial Date, the Superior Court Clerk is authorized by KCLCR 41(b)(2)(A) to present an *Order of Dismissal*, without notice, for failure to appear at the scheduled Trial Date.

NOTICES OF APPEARANCE OR WITHDRAWAL AND ADDRESS CHANGES:

All parties to this action must keep the court informed of their addresses. When a Notice of Appearance/Withdrawal or Notice of Change of Address is filed with the Superior Court Clerk's Office, parties must provide the assigned judge with a courtesy copy.

ARBITRATION FILING AND TRIAL DE NOVO POST ARBITRATION FEE:

A Statement of Arbitrability must be filed by the deadline on the schedule **if the case is subject to mandatory arbitration** and service of the original complaint and all answers to claims, counterclaims and cross-claims have been filed. If mandatory arbitration is required after the deadline, parties must obtain an order from the assigned judge transferring the case to arbitration. **Any party filing a Statement must pay a \$220 arbitration fee**. If a party seeks a trial de novo when an arbitration award is appealed, a fee of \$250 and the request for trial de novo must be filed with the Clerk's Office Cashiers.

NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANCE FEES:

All parties will be assessed a fee authorized by King County Code 4A.630.020 whenever the Superior Court Clerk must send notice of non-compliance of schedule requirements <u>and/or</u> Local Civil Rule 41.

King County Local Rules are available for viewing at <u>www.kingcounty.gov/courts/clerk.</u>

II. CASE SCHEDULE

V	CASE EVENTS	DATE
	Case Filed and Schedule Issued.	8/20/2015
\checkmark	Last Day for Filing Statement of Arbitrability without a Showing of Good Cause for Late Filing [See KCLMAR2.1(a) and Notices on page 2]. \$220 Arbitration fee must be paid	1/28/2016
\checkmark	DEADLINE to file Confirmation of Joinder if not subject to Arbitration [See KCLCR 4.2(a) and Notices on page 2]	1/28/2016
	DEADLINE for Hearing Motions to Change Case Assignment Area [KCLCR 82(e)]	2/11/2016
	DEADLINE for Disclosure of Possible Primary Witnesses [See KCLCR 26(b)]	3/14/2016
	DEADLINE for Disclosure of Possible Additional Witnesses [KCLCR 26(b)]	4/25/2016
	DEADLINE for Jury Demand [See KCLCR 38(b)(2)]	5/9/2016
	DEADLINE for Change in Trial Date [See KCLCR 40(e)(2)]	5/9/2016
	DEADLINE for Discovery Cutoff [See KCKCR 37(g)]	6/27/2016
	DEADLINE for Engaging in Alternative Dispute Resolution [See KCLCR16(b)]	7/18/2016
	DEADLINE for Exchange Witness & Exhibit Lists & Documentary Exhibits [See KCLCR 4(j)]	7/25/2016
V	DEADLINE to file Joint Confirmation of Trial Readiness [See KCLCR 16(a)(1)]	7/25/2016
	DEADLINE for Hearing Dispositive Pretrial Motions [See KCLCR 56;CR56]	8/1/2016
V	Joint Statement of Evidence [See KCLCR 4(k)]	8/8/2016
	DEADLINE for filing Trial Briefs, Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Jury Instructions (Do not file proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law with the Clerk)	8/8/2016
	Trial Date [See KCLCR 40]	8/15/2016

The $\sqrt{}$ indicates a document that must be filed with the Superior Court Clerk's Office by the date shown.

III. ORDER

Pursuant to King County Local Rule 4 [KCLCR 4], IT IS ORDERED that the parties shall comply with the schedule listed above. Penalties, including but not limited to sanctions set forth in Local Rule 4(g) and Rule 37 of the Superior Court Civil Rules, may be imposed for non-compliance. It is FURTHER ORDERED that the party filing this action **must** serve this Order Setting Civil Case Schedule and attachment on all other parties.

Among Craighead

DATED: 8/20/2015

PRESIDING JUDGE

IV. ORDER ON CIVIL PROCEEDINGS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO JUDGE

READ THIS ORDER BEFORE CONTACTING YOUR ASSIGNED JUDGE.

This case is assigned to the Superior Court Judge whose name appears in the caption of this case schedule. The assigned Superior Court Judge will preside over and manage this case for all pretrial matters.

COMPLEX LITIGATION: If you anticipate an unusually complex or lengthy trial, please notify the assigned court as soon as possible.

APPLICABLE RULES: Except as specifically modified below, all the provisions of King County Local Civil Rules 4 through 26 shall apply to the processing of civil cases before Superior Court Judges. The local civil rules can be found at http://www.kingcounty.gov/courts/superiorcourt/civil.aspx.

CASE SCHEDULE AND REQUIREMENTS: Deadlines are set by the case schedule, issued pursuant to Local Civil Rule 4.

THE PARTIES ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING AND COMPLYING WITH ALL DEADLINES IMPOSED BY THE COURT'S LOCAL CIVIL RULES.

A. Joint Confirmation regarding Trial Readiness Report:

No later than twenty one (21) days before the trial date, parties shall complete and file (with a copy to the assigned judge) a joint confirmation report setting forth whether a jury demand has been filed, the expected duration of the trial, whether a settlement conference has been held, and special problems and needs (e.g. interpreters, equipment, etc.).

The form is available at <u>http://www.kingcounty.gov/courts/superiorcourt.aspx</u>. If parties wish to request a CR 16 conference, they must contact the assigned court. Plaintiff's/petitioner's counsel is responsible for contacting the other parties regarding said report.

B. Settlement/Mediation/ADR

a. Forty five (45) days before the trial date, counsel for plaintiff/petitioner shall submit a written settlement demand. Ten (10) days after receiving plaintiff's/petitioner's written demand, counsel for defendant/respondent shall respond (with a counter offer, if appropriate).

b. Twenty eight (28) days before the trial date, a Settlement/Mediation/ADR conference shall have been held. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE REQUIREMENT MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS.

C. Trial: Trial is scheduled for 9:00 a.m. on the date on the case schedule or as soon thereafter as convened by the court. The Friday before trial, the parties should access the King County Superior Court website http://www.kingcounty.gov/courts/superiorcourt.aspx to confirm trial judge assignment. Information can also be obtained by calling (206) 205-5984.

MOTIONS PROCEDURES

A. Noting of Motions

Dispositive Motions: All summary judgment or other dispositive motions will be heard with oral argument before the assigned judge. The moving party must arrange with the hearing judge a date and time for the hearing, consistent with the court rules. Local Civil Rule 7 and Local Civil Rule 56 govern procedures for summary judgment or other motions that dispose of the case in whole or in part. The local civil rules can be found at http://www.kingcounty.gov/courts/superiorcourt/civil.aspx.

Non-dispositive Motions: These motions, which include discovery motions, will be ruled on by the assigned judge without oral argument, unless otherwise ordered. All such motions must be noted for a date by which the ruling is requested; this date must likewise conform to the applicable notice requirements. Rather than noting a time of day, the Note for Motion should state "Without Oral Argument." Local Civil Rule 7 governs these motions, which include discovery motions. The local civil rules can be found at http://www.kingcounty.gov/courts/superiorcourt/civil.aspx.

Motions in Family Law Cases not involving children: Discovery motions to compel, motions in limine, motions relating to trial dates and motions to vacate judgments/dismissals shall be brought before the assigned judge. All other motions should be noted and heard on the Family Law Motions calendar. Local Civil Rule 7 and King County Family Law Local Rules govern these procedures. The local rules can be found at http://www.kingcounty.gov/courts/superiorcourt/civil.aspx.

Emergency Motions: Under the court's local civil rules, emergency motions will be allowed only upon entry of an Order Shortening Time. However, emergency discovery disputes may be addressed by telephone call and without written motion, if the judge approves.

B. Original Documents/Working Copies/ Filing of Documents: All original documents must be filed with the Clerk's Office. Please see information on the Clerk's Office website at www.kingcounty.gov/courts/clerk regarding the new requirement outlined in LGR 30 that attorneys must e-file documents in King County Superior Court. The exceptions to the e-filing requirement are also available on the Clerk's Office website.

The working copies of all documents in support or opposition must be marked on the upper right corner of the first page with the date of consideration or hearing and the name of the assigned judge. The assigned judge's working copies must be delivered to his/her courtroom or the Judges' mailroom. Working copies of motions to be heard on the Family Law Motions Calendar should be filed with the Family Law Motions Coordinator. On June 1, 2009 you will be able to submit working copies through the Clerk's office E-Filing application at www.kingcounty.gov/courts/clerk.

Service of documents: E-filed documents may be electronically served on parties who opt in to E-Service within the E-Filing application. The filer must still serve any others who are entitled to service but who have not opted in. E-Service generates a record of service document that can be e-filed. Please see information on the Clerk's office website at www.kingcounty.gov/courts/clerk regarding E-Service.

Original Proposed Order: Each of the parties must include an original proposed order granting requested relief with the working copy materials submitted on any motion. Do not file the original of the proposed order with the Clerk of the Court. Should any party desire a copy of the order as signed and filed by the judge, a pre-addressed, stamped envelope shall accompany the proposed order.

Presentation of Orders: All orders, agreed or otherwise, must be presented to the assigned judge. If that judge is absent, contact the assigned court for further instructions. If another judge enters an order on the case, counsel is responsible for providing the assigned judge with a copy.

Proposed orders finalizing settlement and/or dismissal by agreement of all parties shall be presented to the assigned judge or in the Ex Parte Department. Formal proof in Family Law cases must be scheduled before the assigned judge by contacting the bailiff, or formal proof may be entered in the Ex Parte Department. If final order and/or formal proof are entered in the Ex Parte Department, counsel is responsible for providing the assigned judge with a copy.

C. Form

Memoranda/briefs for matters heard by the assigned judge may not exceed twenty four (24) pages for dispositive motions and twelve (12) pages for non-dispositive motions, unless the assigned judge permits over-length memoranda/briefs in advance of filing. Over-length memoranda/briefs and motions supported by such memoranda/briefs may be stricken.

IT IS SO ORDERED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDER MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OR OTHER SANCTIONS. PLAINTIFF/PEITITONER SHALL FORWARD A COPY OF THIS ORDER AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE TO ANY PARTY WHO HAS NOT RECEIVED THIS ORDER.

Among Craighead

PRESIDING JUDGE

15 AUG 20 PM 3:59

KING COUNTY	
SUPERIOR COURT CLERK	
E-FILED	;
CASE NUMBER: 15-2-20483-1 SE	ŧΑ

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

TRACY NEIGHBORS and BARBARA 7 NEIGHBORS; ARUL MENEZES and LUCRETIA VANDERWENDE; LAKE SAMMAMISH 4257 8 LLC; HERBERT MOORE and ELYNNE MOORE; TED DAVIS and ELAINE DAVIS; REID BROWN 9 and TERESA BROWN; SHAWN HUARTE and TRINA HUARTE; ANNETTE MCNABB; EUGENE 10 MOREL and ELIZABETH MOREL; VOLKER ELSTE and GAIL UREEL; JOHN R. WARD AND 1 E JOANNA WARD, AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE WARD HALES LIVING TRUST; YORK HUTTON; 12 L. LARS KNUDSEN and LISE SHDO, 13

Cause No.:

SUMMONS

Plaintiffs,

vs.

KING COUNTY, a municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Washington,

Defendant.

TO THE DEFENDANT: KING COUNTY

A lawsuit has been started against you in the above-entitled Court by the above-named

Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' claim are stated in the written Complaint, a copy of which is served upon

you with this Summons.

22

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

SUMMONS - 1

Hanson Baker Ludlow Drumheller P.S. 2229 112th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98004 (425) 454-3374

W:\WPDOCS\15157\003\B0304296.DOCX - cla

Case 2:15-cv-01358 Document 2-1 Filed 08/24/15 Page 23 of 24

In order to defend against this lawsuit, you must respond to the Complaint by stating your defense in writing, and serve a copy upon the undersigned attorney for the Plaintiffs within 20 days after the service of this Summons, excluding the day of service, or within 60 days (if service is made upon you outside the State of Washington), or a default judgment may be entered against you without notice. A default judgment is one where Plaintiffs are entitled to what they ask for because you have not responded. If you serve a notice of appearance on the undersigned attorney, you are entitled to notice before a default judgment may be entered.

You may demand that the Plaintiffs file this lawsuit with the Court. If you do so, the demand must be in writing and must be served upon the Plaintiffs. Within 14 days after you serve the demand, the Plaintiffs must file this lawsuit with the Court, or the service on you of this Summons and Complaint will be void.

If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written response, if any, may be served on time.

This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Superior Court Civil Rules of the State of Washington.

DATED this 10th day of August, 2015.

HANSON BAKER LUDLOW DRUMHELLER P.S.

By:

JÓHN T. LUDLOW WSBA No. 7377 jludlow@hansonbaker.com Attorney for Plaintiffs

Hanson Baker Ludlow Drumheller P.S. 2229 112th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98004 (425) 454-3374

1

2

SUMMONS - 2

W:\WPDOCS\15157\003\B0304296.DOCX - cla

Case 2:15-cv-01358 Document 2-1 Filed 08/24/15 Page 24 of 24

NOTICE: State and federal law provide protections to defendants who are on active duty in the military service, and to their dependents. Dependents of a service member are the service member's spouse, the service member's minor child, or an individual for whom the service member provided more than one-half of the individual's support for one hundred eighty days immediately preceding an application for relief.

One protection provided is the protection against the entry of a default judgment in certain circumstances. This notice only pertains to a defendant who is a dependent of a member of the national guard or a military reserve component under a call to active service for a period of more than thirty consecutive days. Other defendants in military service also have protections against default judgments not covered by this notice. If you are the dependent of a member of the national guard or a military reserve component under a call to active service for a period of more than thirty consecutive days, you should notify the plaintiff or the plaintiff's attorneys in writing of your status as such within twenty days of the receipt of this notice. If you fail to do so, then a court or an administrative tribunal may presume that you are not a dependent of an active duty member of the national guard or reserves, and proceed with the entry of an order of default and/or a default judgment without further proof of your status. Your response to the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorneys about your status does not constitute an appearance for jurisdictional purposes in any pending litigation nor a waiver of your rights.

Hanson Baker Ludlow Drumheller P.S. 2229 112th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98004 (425) 454-3374

SUMMONS - 3 W:\WPDOCS\15157\003\B0304296.DOCX - cla

1